PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



From the:

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

Yu Sarn Audrey & Partners 190 Middle Road, #12-04 Singapore 188979

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

7 3 MAY 2006

Applicant's or agent's file reference

AY/2005.1846

International application No. PCT/SG2005/000106

International filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year)

1 April 2005

2 April 2004

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

Applicant

AGENCY FOR SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY AND RESEARCH et al.

- The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the 1. international preliminary report on patentability and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all 2. the elected Offices.
- Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report 3. (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translations to those Offices.
- REMINDER 4.

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed invention is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the IPENAU

AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA

E-mail address: pet@ipaustralia.gov.an Facsimite No. (02) 6285 3929

Authorized officer

ROBERT BARTRAM

Telephone No. (02) 6283 2215

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference AY/2005.1846	FOR FURTHER ACTION		See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
International application No. PCT/SG2005/000106	International filing date (days	(month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 2 April 2004			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or	national classification and IPC					
Int. Cl.						
G06T 7/60 (2006.01) A61B 5/055 (2006.01)						
Applicant		<u>-</u>				
AGENCY FOR SCIENCE, TECH	INOLOGY AND RESEAR	CH et al				
This report is the international preliming Authority under Article 35 and transmit	ary examination report, establisted to the applicant according t	shed by this Into on Article 36.	emational Preliminary Examining			
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 3	sheets, including this cover she	cct.	•			
3. This report is also accompanied by ANI	NEXES, comprising:	•				
a. (xent to the applicant and to the	e International Bureau) a total (of sheets, us	follows:			
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).						
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. 1 and the Supplemental Box.						
b. (sent to the International Burea a sequence listing and/or table to Sequence Listing (see Section 8	related thereto, in electronic for	m only, as indi	electronic earrier(s)) , containing cated in the Supplemental Box Relating to			
4. This report contains indications relating						
X Box No. 1 Basis of the repor	rt					
Box No. II Priority						
Bux No. III Non-establishme	nt of opinion with regard to no	velty, inventive	step and industrial applicability			
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention						
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement						
Box No. VI Certain documen	and the second s					
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application						
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application						
Date of submission of the demand	Dute o	f completion of	this report			
1 February 2006		ıy 2006				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU	Author	ized Officer				
ALISTR ALIAN PATENT OFFICE		·				
PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRA: B-mail address: pct@jpaustralia.gov.au		ERT BARTR				
Faccimite No. (02) 6285 3929	Teleph	ione Na. (02) 6	283 2215			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. ...
PCT/SG2005/000106

Bo	x No.	l	Basis of the rep	ori			
1.	W;	egard	to the language,	this report is based on:			
	X The international application in the language in which it was filed						
		A translation of the international application into translation furnished for the purposes of: , which is the language of a					
		international search (under Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b))					
			publication of the	international application (under Rule 12.4(a))			
				iminary examination (Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))			
2.	With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report): X the international application as originally filed/furnished						
			cription:				
1	الــا	the tres	pages	as originally filed/furnished			
			bases,				
			pages				
		the clai	ms:				
			pages	as originally filed/furnished			
ĺ			pages*				
			bañca,				
			pages*	received by this Authority on with the letter of			
		the drav	•	as originally filed/furnished			
l			pages pages				
1			pages*				
	\Box	a seque	nce listing and/or	any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.			
3.		The am	endments have re	sulted in the cancellation of:			
	ш		the description,	nages			
		님	the claims, Nos.	· ·			
		님					
	the drawings, sheets/figs						
1		닏	the sequence lis				
			-	ned to the sequence listing (specify):			
4.	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).						
			the description,	pages			
		Ħ	the claims, Nos				
		님	the drawings, sl	nects/figs			
		片	the sequence lis				
			any table(s) rela	ated to the sequence listing (specify):			
	• If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."						
1	ij ii	em 4 app	ucs, some or all of	more succes may as marked supersource			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. . PCT/SG2005/000106

Box No. V	No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1. Statement						
1	Novelty (N)	Claims 1 to 29	YES			
		Claims	NO ···			
1	nventive step (18)	Claims 1 to 29	YES			
		Claims	NO			
. 1	ndustrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1 to 29	YES			
		Claims	· NO			

- 2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)
 - (a) "Automatic Extraction of the Central Symmetry (Mid-Sagittal) Plane from Neuroradiology Images" Yanxi Liu et al. The Robotics Institute, Carnegic Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, USA, 1996. Retrieved on 6 May 2005, from URL:

http://www.ri.cmu.edu/pub files/pub2/liu yanxi 1996 1/liu yanxi 1996 1.pdf

- (b) "Robust Midsagittal Plane Extraction from Normal and Pathological 3-D Neuroradiology Images" Yanxi Liu et al. IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, Vol. 20, No. 3, March 2001, Pages 175-191. See the whole document
- (c) WO 2003/060827 A1 (KENT RIDGE DIGITAL LABS et al) 24 July 2003
- (d) "Computation of the Mid-Sagittal Plane in 3-D Brain Images" S. Prima et al. IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, Vol. 21, No. 2, February 2002, Pages 122-138.
- (e) WO 2004/034178 A2 (LABORATORIES FOR INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY et al) 22 April 2004

Novelty (N) and Inventive Step (IS):

None of these citations or any obvious combination of them disclose all of the features defined in claims 1 to 29. In particular the features of a method for determining a candidate sagittal direction for a brain image as per claim 1 and comprising defining a first 3D volume of interest of said brain, obtaining brain volume data in said 1st volume for a first plurality of slices in said first direction, defining a 2st 3D volume of interest, obtaining brain volume data in said 2st volume for a 2nd plurality of slices in said 2st direction, defining a 3st 3D volume of interest, obtaining brain volume data in said 3st volume for a 3st plurality of slices in said 3st direction, determining a measure for each slice of said 1st, 2st, and 3st plurality of slices, for each 1st, 2st, and 3st plurality of slices, sequentially along a plot axis corresponding to respective 1st, 2st, and 3st directions to produce 1st, 2st, and 3st measure plots, and determining from said measure plots which of the 1st, 2st, or 3st directions is said candidates sagittal direction with a candidate plurality of slices associated therewith. Furthermore the citations fail to disclose selecting a candidate mid-sagittal slice among a plurality of slices based on identifying an optimal measure amongst said measures determined for each slice of said plurality of slices as defined in claim 11.

Therefore the invention defined in claims 1 to 29 are considered to be both novel and inventive in light of these documents.